

Available online at ScienceDirect

Resuscitation





Letter to the Editor

Public access defibrillators: Urgent need to keep them alive



Dear Sir.

Added to chest compressions, automated and semi-automated defibrillators are virtually the only treatment capable of reducing cardiac arrest mortality.1 Over the past decades, these devices have been widely deployed for public access as well as for healthcare and emergency professionals, both medical and non-medical. However, their accessibility remains limited in daily setting. Firstly, many defibrillators are not or are insufficiently geolocated, preventing Emergency Medical Services (EMS) from guiding a first responder to the nearest device. Secondly, many defibrillators are not accessible 24/7. Lastly, in most countries, there are no robust plans for their maintenance. To ensure efficiency, both the defibrillator itself and its batteries and electrodes need regular checking. The alert rate for actual or potential malfunctions is estimated at 1 per 100 defibrillator-years.² These malfunctions compromise defibrillators effectiveness. Due to the increasing number of defibrillators, preventing such malfunctions becomes a true priority.

We report here the results of an audit conducted by a private service provider (Matecir Defibril[®]) prior to the maintenance of defibrillators installed in French public areas (excluding those used by rescue teams and healthcare facilities). Defibrillators were inspected at their installation sites, and non-compliance criteria were recorded: improper storage (i.e., no temperature monitoring and/or regulation system to maintain a temperature between 0 and 40 °C to preserve the device and the electrodes which are particularly sensitive to extreme temperatures), expired or non-compliant electrodes or batteries.

Out of 6,021 defibrillators inspected between 2021 and 2023, 3,558 (59%) were found to be non-compliant. The main causes of non-compliance included expired electrodes and/or batteries (N = 1,949; 32%), improper storage (N = 479; 8%), safety recalls of electrodes (N = 472; 8%), safety recalls of AEDs (N = 228; 4%), non-compliant electrodes (N = 212; 4%), and expired backup batteries (N = 218; 4%).

Overall, nearly two-thirds of the inspected defibrillators did not meet expected standards. Regardless of whether they would have been effective in use, this result is alarming. Currently, many defibrillators are not maintained at all.

Significant progress has been made to increase the accessibility of early defibrillation by multiplying the number of defibrillators (in France, the number of defibrillators has more than doubled in five years), by registering them in a national database (Géo'DAE), and by using various apps guiding citizen rescuers both, to the patient in cardiac arrest and to the nearest defibrillator.³ Evidently, further progress can be made by continuing the deployment of defibrillators, improving the completeness of registers, and increasing the number of citizen rescuers. However, such investment is meaningful only if 100% of defibrillators are fully operational at anytime. Many of early deployed defibrillators are aging and have never been serviced. Numerous defibrillators are installed outdoors in non-temperature-regulated boxes, making the electrodes particularly vulnerable to extreme temperatures that can permanently damage the conducting gel that coats them. The challenge of deploying defibrillators is currently on its way to being met. Now, we are facing an equally important challenge: maintaining the fleet of defibrillators in a state of readiness.

FL: Astra-Zeneca, Boehringer-Ingleheim, Mundipharma, Serb, Teleflex. BTL, NB, TP, JLC: none.

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

REFERENCES

- Valenzuela TD, Roe DJ, Nichol G, Clark LL, Spaite DW, Hardman RG. Outcomes of rapid defibrillation by security officers after cardiac arrest in casinos. N Engl J Med 2000;343:1206–9. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJM200010263431701.
- Shah JS, Maisel WH. Recalls and safety alerts affecting automated external defibrillators. JAMA 2006;296:655–60. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.296.6.655.

 Stroop R, Kerner T, Strickmann B, Hensel M. Mobile phone-based alerting of CPR-trained volunteers simultaneously with the ambulance can reduce the resuscitation-free interval and improve outcome after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: A German, population-based cohort study. Resuscitation 2020;147:57–64. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. resuscitation.2019.12.012.

Bruno Thomas-Lamotte

ARLoD, 75 rue St Charles, 75015 Paris, France

Nordine Benameur

ARLoD, 75 rue St Charles, 75015 Paris, France Centre d'Expertise de la mort Subite: Nord de France, CHU Lille, 5 avenue Oscar Lambret, 59037 Lille Cedex, France Fédération Française de Cardiologie (FFC), 5 rue des Colonnes du Trône, 75012 Paris, France

Tomislav Petrovic

ARLoD, 75 rue St Charles, 75015 Paris, France SAMU 93, UF Recherche-Enseignement-Qualité. Université Paris 13, Sorbonne Paris Cité, Inserm U942, Hôpital Avicenne, 125, rue de Stalingrad, 93009 Bobigny, France

Jean-Louis Coulon

ARLoD, 75 rue St Charles, 75015 Paris, France Matecir Defibril[®], 27 rue du Docteur Schweitzer, 94260 Fresnes, France

Frédéric Lapostolle *

SAMU 93, UF Recherche-Enseignement-Qualité. Université Paris 13, Sorbonne Paris Cité, Inserm U942, Hôpital Avicenne, 125, rue de Stalingrad, 93009 Bobigny, France

* Corresponding author at: SAMU 93 – UF Recherche-Enseignement-Qualité Université Paris 13, Sorbonne Paris Cité, Inserm U942, Hôpital Avicenne, 125, rue de Stalingrad, 93009 Bobigny, France.

E-mail addresses: frederic.lapostolle@aphp.fr.

Received 18 July 2024 Accepted 20 July 2024

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resuscitation.2024.110333

© 2024 Elsevier B.V. All rights are reserved, including those for text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.